Abstract #6079



ctDNA compared to FIT in individuals with colorectal cancer who participated in population-based screening

P.H.A. Wisse^{1,2}, C.R. Alarcón¹, S.J. Schraa³, A.C. Mosquera¹, M. Sausen⁴, R.J.A. Fijneman¹, G.R. Vink^{3,5}, M. de Wit¹, J. Phallen⁶, V.E. Velculescu⁶, B. Carvalho¹, G. A. Meijer¹.

¹The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Dept of Pathology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²Erasmus Medical Center, Dept of Gastroenterology, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ³Department of medical oncology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁴Personal Genome Diagnostics (Labcorp), Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁵Department of Research and Development, Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁶Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

Background

- >The fecal immunochemical test (FIT) is widely used in population-based colorectal cancer (CRC) screening programs.
- Recently, liquid biopsy circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) detection has emerged as a new avenue for early cancer detection.
- >The performance of ctDNA tests in comparison with FIT is largely **unknown**.

Goal of the study

The present exploratory study compared preoperative ctDNA results to FIT results in patients with CRC who had participated in a populationbased screening program.

Methods – patient inclusion

>CRC patients participating in two ctDNA MDR studies (PLCRC-MEDOCC and PLCRC-PROVENC3) who also had participated in the Dutch national CRC screening program (with either a positive or a negative FIT) were selected. \triangleright Patients with complete pre-surgery ctDNA analysis and at least one participation in the Dutch CRC screening program were included

Methods – analysis

- \succ FIT results from their latest participation was obtained. Pre-surgery blood was used for ctDNA analysis CtDNA analysis was both tumor and plasma informed Several cut-offs were investigated for FIT
- Concordance and complementarity between FIT results and tumor-informed next generation sequencing based ctDNA results were determined.

MEDOCC = Molecular Early **D**etection **O**f **C**olorectal **C**ancer PLCRC = Prospective Dutch Colorectal Cancer cohort **PROVENC3 = PRO**gnostic Value of Early Notification by Ctdna in Colon Cancer stage 3

Screening program, PLCRC-MEDOCC & PLCRC-PROVENC3

- >In The Netherlands, all inhabitants aged 55-75 are invited biennially to perform a single FIT (cut-off $47\mu g/g$). Participants with a positive test result are referred for colonoscopy.
- PLCRC-MEDOCC and PLCRC-PROVENC3 are observational studies within the Prospective Dutch Colorectal Cancer Cohort (PLCRC, <u>https://plcrc.nl/for-international-visitors</u>).



Figure: study flow PLCRC-MEDOCC and PLCRC-PROVENC3

Flowchart inclusion

umor characteristics		
Tumor characteristics	N (total n=120)	Proportion
Stage		
	3	2,5%
II	57	47,5%
III	60	50,0%
IV	0	0,0%
Location		
Left colon	53	44,2%
Right colon	57	47,5%
Rectum	9	7,5%
Unknown	1	0,8%

Conclusions

- CRC screening.

Limitations

screening target population.

Venn diagram – CRC detection with FIT and/or ctDNA

 \succ In this selected population, both FIT and ctDNA were positive in most CRC patients.

>Interestingly, both tests also demonstrated a

substantial level of complementarity.

>This suggests that combining FIT with cell-free DNA testing may have potential for increasing sensitivity of

>Cell-free DNA tests used here are **tumor-informed**, which in real life screening practice is not feasible. \succ The present study population only involved CRC patients and was **not a representative sample** from the total